

Re y Road Q ua y A ch Assessment 1 & 2

F om SON rchaeology <archaeology@saugeenojibwaynation.ca> Date Wed 2/19/2025 12:45 PM

To Jenn Burnett <JBurnett@brucecounty.on.ca>

** [**CAUTION**]: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon Jenn,

SON rchaeology accepts the recommendations made by F C:

1) The Study rea of the property subjected to Stage 2 archaeological assessment has been adequately assessed and no further archaeological work is required for that area.

2) The unassessed portion of the property, as indicated in Figure 7 of the Stage 2 report, should be assessed prior to any disturbance or expansion into that unassessed area.

If undertaken, this additional/future work should be conducted in consultation with SON, prior to obtaining a consultant or conducting the assessment.

Miigwech,

Kove Sartor SON Archaeology Department Resource & Infrastructure Department A

10129 Hwy 6 Georgian Bluffs, ON N0H 2T0 <u>saugeenojibwaynation.ca</u> A 4:30 A Re: B r Ro d Qu rr Ar Assessme 1 & 2 Je B r e ook On Wed, De , 4 at : 6 PM Jenn Burnett < JBur e @brucecou y.o. .c > wr te: t

Hi Kove,

Assessments atta hed. Please let me know if additional information is required. t

Thank you, t

Jenn t

Jenn Burnett t Senior Planner t Planning and Development t Corporation of the County of Bruce t

Office: 519-534-2092 t

www.brucecounty.on.ca t

COB_Logo.png

Individuals who submit letters and other information to Council and its Committees should be aware that any t personal information contained within their communications may become part of the public record and may be t made available through the agenda process which includes publication on the County's website. t

If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete all copies t (electronic or otherwise). Thank you for your cooperation. t

If you feel that this email was ommer ial in nature and you do not wish to re eive further ele troni t messages from the County of Bru e, please li k on the following link to unsubs ribe: <u>Unsubscribe</u>. t Please be advised that this may restri t our ability to send messages to you in the future. t

Planning + Development Department Wiaston File Number 17, 2024 - 9:00 Am. DE File Number 1-2024-010 and 2-2024-061 DEC 1 7 2024 O I'am concern about my well it is only 33' Deep. I want my land protected from the quarry. if there is any damage. 3) Ho noise after a certain hour, so we can steep.

BETTY LANCASTER 125 THE BURY RD. SOUTH BRUCE PENINSULA ONTARIO NOH 2TO PHONE NO; EMAIL =

2

Dean Lancaster 97 Bury Rol South Buce Peninsula Out. RECEIVED DEC 1 7 2024 NOH 2TO I Is the final elacation of the Quarry floor remaining Inster above the ground water level * above water Quarries must remain 2 meters above the Estabashed ground water Elevation. So is this quarry Roposing to extract to within I meter of the ground water elevation and that is why it is stated it is to extract below the maximum predicted water Elevation? * Bury Rd + Timberlost road should be brought up to theavy trucking standards due to the increased truck traffic this New Quarry will cause Who is going to be responsible if our, wells go dry. what are your Hours going to be. Since I live right across the road. E-mail

E.

1_

(_____

1.

E.

しょし

001

0.

****** [CAUTION]: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you for re-sending your email.

Unfortunately, we cannot accept that Shouldice will be the sole investigator to determine that our well is dry due to the quarry operations.

From our experience, it's not a question of *if* the well will go dry, but *when*, as the water levels are already below the summer threshold. When the adjacent quarry business hit similar levels, we lost our well. Once water was pumped out we were without water for an extended period. Our well is shallow, only about 25-30 feet deep, and the aquifer is not very deep. Additionally, the land for the proposed quarry is several feet lower than our property, which raises concerns of water pooling and becoming inaccessible.

We also want to bring up our ongoing concerns about the potential impact on our property values. The adjacent quarry business has been closed for a few years, as we were told it would be when we bought our home, and we are hoping for its cleanup and the eventual increase in property value, especially for the benefit of our grandchildren.

Given that both Daniel and Lawrence worked with Shouldice for over 10 years, I trust that they are familiar with the business practices involved. Therefore, I request an immediate solution for water replacement to meet the needs of our family, animals, and household. We would appreciate a clear timeline and contact details for an unbiased third party to monitor this.

Lastly, we believe extending the existing berm at the northern access is a more suitable option. We are concerned that it may eventually be repurposed as a parking lot, rather than being reserved for emergency use.

****** [CAUTION]: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon,

Thank you for your email and for keeping correspondence open.

Note 33 on the Operations Plan.

"In the event of a water supply interruption complaint the quarry operator shall investigate the complaint and determine the cause of the interruption to the satisfaction of the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, and Town of South Bruce Peninsula. Where the Ministry of Natural Resources, with the assistance of the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, has determined that the operation of the quarry has caused any well water to be adversely affected, the licensee shall, at the licensee's expense, either deepen the well or replace the well to ensure that historic water production quality standards are maintained for that well. If the quarry operation has caused a water supply problem, the licensee shall, at their expense, ensure a continuous supply of potable water to the affected landowner."

From what I understand, after a water disruption complaint is made, Eric decides whether a disruption has occurred and if an investigation will be launched, with some oversight from the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, and the Town of South Bruce Peninsula. This is concerning to me. I strongly believe that Eric Shouldice, his business, family, friends, or associates should not be involved in determining the validity of the complaint or investigating the disruption. This should be handled by an independent third party with no connections to any involved parties.

Having worked for Mr. Shouldice for 11 years, I have witnessed many legal issues he has faced. While I won't go into detail unless necessary, this history makes me uncomfortable with his involvement in any dispute like this.

Furthermore, there is no timeline for how long the dispute resolution will take or when enforcement will occur. While my family could survive with poor or no water for months, at great cost, livestock on nearby properties cannot. Even a few days without water can be fatal.

Although the northern access driveway is of lesser concern, it is still one I have, given that I have small children and animals on my property. I am happy to see that it is designated to be used only for emergency purposes; however, there is no mention of any recourse I will have if he violates this agreement.

Regarding the condition of Limberlost Road and Bury Road leading up to the proposed

quarry, it doesn't seem fair to leave it up to the residents living on those roads to fight with the municipality to have them fixed or repaired. Just because Shouldice pays taxes on stone does not give him the right to dismiss his responsibility for repairing the damage caused by the vehicles servicing his business. In fact, when we previously spoke with Shouldice about our concerns, he dismissed them outright, further highlighting his lack of accountability.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely, Daniel A Semenuk.

131 The Bury Road, South Bruce Peninsula, ON, N0H2T0

On Tue, Mar 18, 2025 at 6:04 AM Jenn Burnett <<u>JBurnett@brucecounty.on.ca</u>> wrote:

Hi Beth,

Thanks for the text. Resending the email.

Jenn

From: Jenn Burnett Sent: Friday, March 14, 2025 6:29 PM

To:

Subject: Bury Road Quarry application update

Hello Beth and Daniel,

Thank you for taking my call this evening. I understand that you both are opposed to the quarry being permitted and the intent of this email is not to change your minds but to provide an update on site plan changes the applicant has made to address some of the concerns that you and other neighbors expressed at the Public Meetings.

Since the Public meetings to discuss the proposed quarry, the Operation Plan has been updated to address concerns related to well water supply and contains the following note:

Note 33 on the Operations Plan.

"In the event of a water supply interruption complaint the quarry operator shall investigate the complaint and determine the cause of the interruption to the satisfaction of the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, and Town of South Bruce Peninsula. Where the Ministry of Natural Resources, with the assistance of the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, has determined that the operation of the quarry has caused any well water to be adversely affected, the licensee shall, at the licensee's expense, either deepen the well or replace the well to ensure that historic water production quality standards are maintained for that well. If the quarry operation has caused a water supply problem, the licensee shall, at their expense, ensure a continuous supply of potable water to the affected landowner."

The plan has also been changed to reflect that the northern access across from your lot is only for emergency purposes.

Cuesta Planning is submitting the amended Operation Plan to the Ministry so that they are aware of the changes.

I have asked the applicant's planner to provide us with a timeline/life expectancy for the extraction of Phase 1, which is closest to your property.

Additionally, the owner has also provided a phone number for you to reach him directly in the event that you have a concern and need to reach him. Eric

With regard to the road, as we learned in the meetings, the Town receives a payment per tonne extracted that is to compensate for the road wear and tear. It is up to the municipality to assign resources to upgrade the road. I will mention this in my planning report to Council.

Do you see this as an appropriate response to your concern related to well water and site access?

I have the recommendation report scheduled to go to both Councils April 15th (SBP) and

19th (County) so I am hoping for a response from you on this.

Thank you,

Jenn

519-901-2094

Hi Robert,

Thanks for the response. As the road is municipally owned, it is up to the Town to decide on upgrades. I have not been part of any discussions related to the road.

>

Jenn

From: Robert Rodgers
Sent: Monday, March 10, 2025 9:26 PM
To: Jenn Burnett <JBurnett@brucecounty.on.ca>
Subject: Re: Bury Road Quarry

** [CAUTION]: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Jenn,

This looks like an excellent solution should there be any problem with the water supply.

Has there been any discussion about upgrading the road?

Thank you, Robert Rodgers

On Mar 10, 2025, at 11:38 AM, Jenn Burnett <<u>JBurnett@brucecounty.on.ca</u>> wrote:

Hello Robert,

Following up on this email. The Operation Plan has been updated since the Public meetings and contains the following note:

Note 33 on the Operations Plan.

"In the event of a water supply interruption complaint the quarry operator shall investigate the complaint and determine the cause of the interruption to the satisfaction of the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, and Town of South Bruce Peninsula. Where the Ministry of Natural Resources, with the assistance of the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, has determined that the operation of the quarry has caused any well water to be adversely affected, the licensee shall, at the licensee's expense, either deepen the well or replace the well to ensure that historic water production quality standards are maintained for that well. <u>If the quarry operation has caused a water</u> <u>supply problem, the licensee shall, at their expense, ensure a continuous supply</u> <u>of potable water to the affected landowner."</u>

Do you see this as an appropriate response to your concern?

The owner has also provided a phone number for you to reach him directly in the event that you have a concern and need to reach him. Eric (519)

I have the recommendation report scheduled to go to both Councils April 15th (SBP) and 19th (County) so I am looking for a response from you on this.

Thank you,

Jenn

From: Jenn Burnett Sent: Friday, February 28, 2025 1:33 PM To: r

Subject: Bury Road Quarry

Hello Robert,

Genevieve Scott from Cuesta Planning has asked if you would be interested in participating in a well monitoring program related to the proposed quarry operation (Bruce Peninsula Stone). It will involve a pre-development water sample being taken from the on-site well, recording the water level and the location and elevation of the well will be surveyed. This data can be used to determine if there is interference on your well from the operation.

If you would like to participate, staff of GEI can attend on-site and include your well(s). If you are interested in discussing this, please reach out to Genevieve Scott <u>genevieve@cuestaplanning.com</u>, or confirm that I have permission to share your email address with her and she will contact you directly. Please call me if you have any questions 519-901-2094.

Thank you, Jenn